## **Agents of Engagement:**

trialling the use of collaborative technology workshops to engage at-risk youth and teachers in VET



Stefan Schutt & Dale Linegar Work-based Education Research Cent

WWW.VU.EDU.AU





#### WHAT WAS ENGAGEME?

# A 2009 collaborative workshop partnership between: Victoria University (School of Creative Industries)

- Adult Multicultural Education Services (Resourcing, Learning and Innovation unit)

- deliver new digital media skills to at-risk young people and their teachers discover new ways of engaging and retaining at-risk young people

- And taking part were:
   16-24 year olds studying in Victoria's Adult and Community Education (ACE) sector
- Sourced from six ACE providers in outer Melbourne and regional areas
- Studying or teaching VCAL, literacy and vocational programs
  Workshops taught by VU multimedia and professional writing teachers

#### WHY DO IT?

- · trial new ways of engaging 'at-risk' young people
- provide new form of professional development to ACE teachers
- · pilot collaborative cross-generational approaches to learning
- · bridge the digital technology 'generation gap'



#### **HOW DID IT WORK?**

- series of four all-day workshops:

  - two for teachers only
     two for teachers and students
- · delivered from March to May 2009
- supported by a Ning website moderated by a paid AMES teacher.
- series delivered twice (concurrently)



### **HOW DID IT WORK?**

- Workshop 1: story development principles (teachers only)
- Workshop 2: intro to low-cost/free media software (teachers only)
- Workshops 3 & 4: development of comics/films (teachers & students)



### **ACTIVITIES**

- · digital video and photography basics
- shooting and editing video using Flip cameras and Windows Moviemaker, exporting stills and footage
- · creating comic strips with Comic Life software
- · basic image processing (Photoshop, Fireworks)
- · introduction to virtual worlds (Second Life)



#### **SETTING**

- · VU premises in central Melbourne.
- · location chosen for its centrality, proximity to train and tram transport
- also to introduce tertiary education environments to young people unfamiliar with
- Tools used (paid):

   a hired 'portable lab' of 20 laptop PCs
  five Flip video cameras
   Wireless Internet
   Photoshop
   Illustrator

- Tools used (free/low cost)

   Windows Moviemaker
- Comic Life comic creation software Firefox web browser Second Life



#### **RESEARCH: BACKGROUND**

- · Definitions of 'engagement' in education duality of formal and informal activities (Kuh, 2001; McGaw et al, 1993; Lee et al, 1993, etc)
- Informal learning growing and happening increasingly outside classroom walls (Jenkins
- Networked technology; young people lead adoption (White, 2007) and are at the vanguard of social change (Surveying the Digital Future 2008 & 2009; Lenhart et al. 2008)
- Internet now fundamental life management tool for young people (Grey Global Group 2007)
- · Large gap between young people's use of technology and their teachers/case workers/mentors (Blanchard et al, 2005)



**RESEARCH: SOME MODELS** 

#### Overall: Constructionism (Papert, 1991)

- 'learning as a reconstruction rather than as a transmission of knowledge'
- · 'constructing a meaningful product'

#### Three specific models:

- Engagement Theory (Kearsley and Shneiderman 1999)
- Produsage (Bruns 2005)
- · Participatory Cultures (Jenkins 2006)

- Embrace conversational nature of the web
  - Object-centred sociality (Knorr-Cetina 1997)
- Embrace participatory cultures (Jenkins 2006)
  - · Producers-consumers (produsers: Bruns 2005))
- Collective intelligence (Levy 2000)
- Need for teaching context and consequence (Jenkins 2006)



#### **RESEARCH: DATA COLLECTION**

- pre-and-post workshop surveys based on the 2008 E-learning Benchmarking survey for Teachers (Australian Flexible Learning Framework)
- · focus groups (teachers and students)
- · facilitator observations (weblog site)
- online forum for teacher participants (Ning)



**RESEARCH: FINDINGS SUMMARY** 

- Students generally engaged, particularly those whose interests were tapped
- · Evidence that the most disengaged students responded particularly well
- Students disengaged when already knew technology, or when it broke down (MS) Moviemaker)
- Teachers most engaged were those motivated already. Preaching to converted?
- Evidence of follow up by both students and teachers; hip hop video, comedian documentary, integration of storytelling into classes
- · Storytelling workshop useful for teachers: set them up for future work with students (but could have been more integrated with technology workshops)
- · Need for some teachers for basic computer tuition (thought they knew more than they did). Separate workshops recommended
- · Teacher/student collaboration viewed as successful by all participants
- · Teacher/teacher collaboration patchy

### **RESEARCH: FINDINGS SUMMARY**

### Success factors:

- · Workshop pace and structure worked for most participants
- Smaller groups better (6-8)
- · Facilitator is crucial to success: needs to earn respect through technical know-how
- Comic and video creation activities very popular with students and teachers:
- · Flip cameras particularly noted as useful and fun by all (simplicity)
- Use of free/cheap software problematic at times (Moviemaker) and problem-free at others (Comic Life). False economy? The right tools matter.
- Location key success factor : new surroundings/contexts are source of inspiration
- · Resourcing (ACE sector very under-resourced, particularly teacher PD time)

12

# **CONTACT DETAILS**

STEFAN SCHUTT

Work-based Education Research Centre

PHONE 0410 387 622

EMAIL stefan.schutt@vu.edu.au

WWW.VU.EDU.AU



